



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/582,253	06/22/2000	CHRISTOPHER RICHARDSON	ULT4084P0160	3607

7590 08/02/2004

Wood, Phillips, Katz, Clark & Mortimer  
Citicorp Center, Suite 3800  
500 West Madison Street  
Chicago, IL 60661-2511

EXAMINER

MORRISON, NASCHICA SANDERS

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3632

DATE MAILED: 08/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/582,253	<b>Applicant(s)</b> RICHARDSON, CHRISTOPHER	
	<b>Examiner</b> Naschica S Morrison	<b>Art Unit</b> 3632	

**All Participants:**

(1) Naschica S Morrison.

(2) Allen Hoover.

**Status of Application: Final**

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 23 July 2004

**Time:** 1:00pm

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No  
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:  
*n/a*

Claims discussed:  
 22, 23 and 25


Prior art documents discussed:  
*n/a*

**Part II.**

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:  
*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

  
 (Examiner/SPÉ Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant was advised the amendment after final had been received. Applicant was further advised that claims 22, 23 and 25 do not appear to be in compliance with rule 1.121 since some of the limitations found in the previous version of the claims was not included. Examiner advised applicant that she would consult with a primary examiner to see if an examiner's amendment could be made to correct the claim language..